| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 10/727,211 | CHEN, WEN-TIEN | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D. | 1643 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.*.

(2) *Anna C. Chau*.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *14 March 2007*

**Time:** _____

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

*64-72*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The Examiner proposed entry of Examiner's amendment that would place this application in condition for allowance; Ms. Chau authorized entry of the proposed amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)